



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/774,948

02/10/2004

Anders Astrom

35947-201058

8639

26694 7590 09/09/2008

VENABLE LLP  
P.O. BOX 34385  
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998

EXAMINER

TSAI, TSUNG YIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/09/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/774,948	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASTROM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TSUNG-YIN TSAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                        |                                                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/1/2007, 2/10/2004</u> .                                     | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAIL ACTION**

Acknowledge of **Amendment** received on 7/24/2008 and made of record.

Acknowledge of NO amendment.

### ***Response to Arguments***

**Applicant's argument** – Page 7-9, applicant argues reference only has surface scan and no penetration of the object as well as detecting the reflected light.

**Examiner's response** – Examiner is unsure what kind or how much of a penetration that applicant is claiming. However, Examiner would like to submit that it is an inherent property that there is always a small amount of penetration by light, as much as a few hundred Å (angstrom), on whatever surface it is directed.

Thus, Examiner sees this as penetration unless it is clearer in the claim language on what and how much of a penetration the applicant would like to claim.

**Applicant's argument** – Page 9, third paragraph, applicant argues where claimed invention can distinguish defect which do not appear as chromatic difference.

**Examiner's response** – As applicant admits that Luminari discloses where defect can be found by light intensity very different from the mean for detection.

**Applicant's argument** – Page 10, regarding dependent claims 2, 7, 10 and 15 are allowable by the argument above.

**Examiner's response** – Luminari teaches all the claim limitation presented by the claim language. Thus dependent claims 2, 7, 10 and 15 are rejected.

**Applicant's argument** – Page 11, regarding dependent claims 3-6 and 11-12 are allowable by the argument above.

**Examiner's response** – Luminari teaches all the claim limitation presented by the claim language. Thus dependent claims 3-6 and 11-12 are rejected.

### ***Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 8-9, 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Luminari (US Patent Number 4.984,172 IDS).

Luminari disclose the method and system that carries the function of imaging characteristics, comprising:

(1) Regarding claims 1 and 9:

an object (title disclose object as wood panels, abstract disclose object as plywood panels, figure 1, figure 3, figure 5 disclose what samples of interest are detected in the object, column 1 lines 60-67) with of a measuring system (abstract disclose a measuring device for scanning with respect of the x, y and z axes, figure 2

Art Unit: 2624

parts 15-18 are the detection devices, column 4 lines 30-35 disclose system that can determine the length, width and depth of the object of interest) the method comprising:

moving at least one of the measuring system and/or the object in relation another of the measuring system and the object in a predefined direction (figure 3 disclose that the object of interest is move in a predetermine direction by the belts, column 2 lines 5-18 disclose the a predefined direction such as longitudinally, transversally and vertically with respect to the object of measurement) of movement,

moving the object in relation (figure 3 disclose that the object of interest is move in a predetermine direction by the belts, column 2 lines 5-18 disclose the a predefined direction such as longitudinally, transversally and vertically with respect to the object of measurement) to the measuring system (abstract disclose a measuring device for scanning with respect of the x, y and z axes, figure 2 parts 15-18 are the detection devices, column 4 lines 30-35 disclose system that can determine the length, width and depth of the object of interest),

illuminating the object with incident light (abstract disclose light bean that transversely onto the surface of the object of interest, figure 6 disclose a light beam and pat 19 that show the light source, column 3 lines 28-40 disclose a LASER projector or a light beam), which has limited extension in the direction of movement (abstract disclose the light been has a limited extension; only in the transverse position of the panel, figure 6 disclose a the light source 19 having a limited extension in terms of 42 as the object of interest move in predefine direction, column 3 lines 28-40, column 4 lines 30-36),

Art Unit: 2624

detecting light reflected from the object (abstract disclose reflected beam use to measure the object of interest, column 4 lines 25-40 disclose reflected beam to determine length, width and depth of the object of interest) with an imaging sensor (abstract disclose a detecting and measuring system where the detecting system measure the x, y and z axes of the from the reflected light, figure 6 part 40 is a image sensor, column 3 lines 28-40 disclose a CCD is resolve the reflects into a series of points) arranged on the same side of the object as the incident light (figure 1-6 disclose the placement is on the same plane or same side of the object of light),

converting the detected light into electrical charges with the image-processing sensor (figure 6, column 3 lines 28-40 disclose a detection system that can be a CCD that is able to convert the reflected light into electrical charges that will the properties of object of interest),

creating a digital representation of the object (3 lines 28-40 disclose a detection system that can be a CCD where CCD output digital outputs in respect to the x, y, and z Cartesian coordinates for the profile) from the electrical charge,

marking the light to strike the object at a predetermined distance (figure 1-6 disclose the light source is set in predetermine distance as the object of interest, figure 4 disclose where the light strike the object from a predetermined distance, figure 6 disclose the predetermine distance between the light source and the object of interest, column 1 lines 63-67 disclose the pre-established distance) from the imaging sensor viewed in the direction of movement of the object (figure 1-6 disclose the sensors view in the direction of the movement of the object, column 2 lines 7-17 disclose the sensors

Art Unit: 2624

are adjustable in order to detect the light reflection with different sort of object of interest, column 4 lines 10-15 disclose detecting system translate along path of the movement of object of interest), and

simultaneously reading out from the digital representation information on a geometric profile of the object (abstract disclose the x, y and z showing the geometric profile of the object of interest, column 3 lines 10-15 disclose that sensor can detect the defects of the object of interest in geometrical terms, column 3 lines 28-38 disclose the CCD able to form the geometrical profile of the object of interest from the reflect light into x, y and z coordinates, column 4 lines 20-25 disclose measuring system that is able to determine the length, width and depth which are geometrical profiles, column 4 lines 30-35) and information on a light scatter (figure 5 disclose the imperfection on the object of interest that can be determine from the light reflection/light scatter, figure 6 disclose detector 40 that collects the scatter lights, column 3 lines 28-38 disclose the CCD that detect the reflected beams for x, y and z coordinates, column 4 lines 3-10 disclose that the light intensity collected can determine further profile of the object of interest) in a predetermined area (figure 6 disclose the beam to be only in a predetermine area by the line 42 and angle of 41, column 3 lines 28-54) around the said profile (3 lines 28-40 disclose a detection system that can be a CCD where CCD output digital outputs in respect to the x, y, and z Cartesian coordinates for the profile).

(2) Regarding claim 8:

reading out from the digital representation information on an intensity distribution addition to information (column 3 lines 1-20 disclose additional information such as

Art Unit: 2624

chromatic discontinuities of the surface, knots, glue or paint stain or spots) on the geometric profile (abstract disclose the x, y and z showing the geometric profile of the object of interest, column 3 lines 10-15 disclose that sensor can detect the defects of the object of interest in geometrical terms, column 3 lines 28-38 disclose the CCD able to form the geometrical profile of the object of interest from the reflect light into x, y and z coordinates, column 4 lines 20-25 disclose measuring system that is able to determine the length, width and depth which are geometrical profiles, column 4 lines 30-35) of the object and the light scatter (abstract disclose reflected beam use to measure the object of interest, column 4 lines 25-40 disclose reflected beam to determine length, width and depth of the object of interest), (abstract disclose the measure of light intensity, column 3 lines 1-5 disclose chromatic discontinuities which is due to light intensity reflection, column 3 lines 28-55 to column 4 lines 1-10 disclose the information that are gather due to light intensity) (3 lines 28-40 disclose a detection system that can be a CCD where CCD output digital outputs in respect to the x, y, and z Cartesian coordinates for the profile).

(3) Regarding claim 13:

wherein the incident light comprise linear light (figure 6, column 3 lines 25-35 disclose a the light source to be LASER, which is seen as a linear light source).

(4) Regarding claim 14:

wherein the incident light comprises of a plurality of points or linear segments (column 3 lines 25-55 disclose the linear light source which detect position of points that are aligned along a straight line).



(5) Regarding claim 16:

wherein in addition to information (column 3 lines 1-20 disclose additional information such as chromatic discontinuities of the surface, knots, glue or paint stain or spots) on the geometric profile (abstract disclose the x, y and z showing the geometric profile of the object of interest, column 3 lines 10-15 disclose that sensor can detect the defects of the object of interest in geometrical terms, column 3 lines 28-38 disclose the CCD able to form the geometrical profile of the object of interest from the reflect light into x, y and z coordinates, column 4 lines 20-25 disclose measuring system that is able to determine the length, width and depth which are geometrical profiles, column 4 lines 30-35) of the object and the light scatter (figure 5 disclose the imperfection on the object of interest that can be determine from the light reflection/light scatter, figure 6 disclose detector 40 that collects the scatter lights, column 3 lines 28-38 disclose the CCD that detect the reflected beams for x, y and z coordinates, column 4 lines 3-10 disclose that the light intensity collected can determine further profile of the object of interest), the image-processing unit (column 2 lines 5-65 disclose the processing unit) is also configured to read out information on an intensity distribution (abstract disclose the measure of light intensity, column 3 lines 1-5 disclose chromatic discontinuities which is due to light intensity reflection, column 3 lines 28-55 to column 4 lines 1-10 disclose the information that are gather due to light intensity) from the digital representation (3 lines 28-40 disclose a detection system that can be a CCD where CCD output digital outputs in respect to the x, y, and z Cartesian coordinates for the profile).

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 7, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luminari (US Patent Number 4,984,172 IDS) in view of Ervin (US Patent Number 4,168,489).

(1) Regarding claims 2 and 10:

Luminari teaches regarding digital representation.

Luminari does not teach regarding divided up into rows and columns and that a creating compressed image is created from the digital representation by reducing the number of rows.

However, Ervin teaches regarding dividing up into rows and columns (figure 1-2, figure 6) and that a compressed image (figure 6) is created from the digital representation by reducing the number of rows (figure 2 and figure 6 disclose where the compress image has reduced rows, column 2 lines 50-65 show the compressing for reducing "height" which is seen as rows).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Ervin teaching to Luminari regarding divided up into rows and columns and that a compressed image is created from the digital representation by reducing the number of rows, such that this form of

compression is a way to reduced redundant or repeating data so less memory will be taken for the storage of the image data.

(2) Regarding claims 7 and 15:

Luminari teaches regarding all the subject matter above.

Luminari does not teach regarding wherein the compressed image is created by saving for each column the maximum value for the pre-selected rows.

However, Ervin teaches regarding wherein the compressed image (figure 6) is created by saving for each column the maximum value for the pre-selected rows (column 2 lines 52-63 disclose pre-selected rows, figure 2 and figure 6 disclose where the compress image has reduced rows, column 2 lines 50-65 show the compressing for reducing "height" which is seen as rows, column 4 lines 1-67 to column 5 lines 1-30 disclose that rows that has detection is label as "1" and that values is save by that row and column).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Ervin teaching to Luminari regarding wherein the compressed image is created by saving for each column the maximum value for the pre-selected rows, such that this form of compression is a way to reduced redundant or repeating data so less memory will be taken for the storage of the image data for those of limited storage space or limited bandwidth for the image data transfer.

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 3-6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luminari (US Patent Number 4,984,172 IDS) in view of Ervin (US Patent Number 4,168,489) as applied in claims 2 and 10 respectively above, and further in view of Kableschkov (US Patent Number 5,490,100).

(1) Regarding claim 3 and 11:

Luminari and Ervin teach regarding digital representation by reduction of rows.

Luminari and Ervin does not teach regarding the reduction of row by summation of rows in a predetermine order.

However, Kableschkov teaches regarding the reduction of row summation of rows (column 1 lines 15-20 disclose the cumulative summation on a row-by-row basis, column 3 lines 55-63 disclose the summation unit capable of fulfilling the column-wise summation) in a predetermine order (column 10 lines 40-45 disclose a predetermine order according to the format of the in coming bit data).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Kableschkov teaching to Luminari and Ervin regarding reduction of row by summation of rows in a predetermine order, such that it will enhance the statistical capabilities and contributes to the reduction of relational data base query response time (column 2 lines 45-50) for the data.

(2) Regarding claim 4:

Luminari and Ervin teach regarding all the subject matter above.

Luminari and Erivin do not teach regarding summation performed by analog means.

However, Kableschkov teaches summation is performed by analog means (column 4 lines 50-65 disclose that sign bits, exponents bits and mantissa bits can be handled in an analogous manner using portions of the corresponding register).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Kableschkov teaching to Luminari and Erivin regarding summation performed by analog means. The motivation would that it would conform to standards and requirement such as VAX F-format or IEEE S-format (column 4 lines 50-65).

(3) Regarding claim 5:

Erivin further teaches regarding summation is performed by digital means (column 3 lines 60-67 disclose digital means to effectuation the vertical reduction of the data).

(4) Regarding claims 6 and 12:

Erivin further teaches regarding saving for each column the summation by columns information on the row at which the electrical charge exceeds a predetermined threshold value (column 4 lines 43-47 where logical "1" is seen as the threshold value), indicating that reflected light is detected just in that row, is saved for each column (column 4 lines 1-67 to column 5 lines 1-30 disclose that

Art Unit: 2624

rows that has detection is label as "1" and that values is save by that row and column).

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TSUNG-YIN TSAI whose telephone number is (571)270-1671. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8 am - 5 pm ESP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571)272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tsung-Yin Tsai/

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

September 3, 2008

/Brian Q Le/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624